

# EXHIBIT A

Lauren Welling (CA State Bar No. 291813)  
[LWelling@sssfirm.com](mailto:LWelling@sssfirm.com)  
 Michael W. Carney (CA State Bar No. 241564)  
[MCarney@sssfirm.com](mailto:MCarney@sssfirm.com)

**SLATER SLATER SCHULMAN LLP**  
 8383 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 255  
 Beverly Hills, CA 90211  
 Telephone: (310) 341-2086  
 Facsimile: (310) 773-5573

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
 LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

**DECLARATION OF LAUREN A.  
 WELLING IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO  
 WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR  
 PLAINTIFF A.O.**

This Document Relates to:

*A.O. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al;*  
*3:24-cv-05717-CRB*

I, Lauren A. Welling, declare:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Slater Slater Schulman LLP. I am admitted to practice before this Court. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge. If called upon to testify, I could and would testify competently to the truth of the matters stated herein.
2. Plaintiff, A.O. ("Plaintiff"), has not responded to any efforts to reach her made by myself or my office since December 2, 2024 when Plaintiff answered the telephone, then hung up on our office. Prior to that, Plaintiff had not contacted our office since August 1, 2022.
3. Over the course of the last year, Plaintiff has responded to our telephone messages but will not answer the telephone or call our office back.
4. Plaintiff's failure to communicate with our office has presented a circumstance covered

1 by Rule 1.16(b)(4) of the California Rules of Professional Conduct. Our office is unable  
2 to meet discovery deadlines and otherwise prosecute her case without her input. It is my  
3 opinion that our withdrawal from the case has become necessary.

4 5. Under the California Rules of Professional Conduct 1.16(d), our office has taken all  
5 reasonably available steps to avoid prejudice to the rights of Plaintiff.

6 a. Throughout the course of our representation of Plaintiff since she had retained  
7 our office on June 1, 2021, she has been minimally responsive with only three  
8 (3) telephone communications over the course of three and a half years, before  
9 ceasing communication altogether on December 2, 2024.

10 b. Before and throughout the time period described below since service of her  
11 Plaintiff Fact Sheet was due, Plaintiff received numerous letters, emails, text  
12 messages, telephone calls, and voice messages requesting that she contact our  
13 office. These communications included 26 unsuccessful phone calls with voice  
14 messages or where voice messages could not be left, 19 emails, five letters, and  
15 15 text messages. In these communications, our office repeatedly explained the  
16 need for her continued participation in the case, the risk that her case may be  
17 dismissed, and that our office would need to withdraw as counsel if she did not  
18 contact our office.

19 c. In advance of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet deadline in her case, our office mailed  
20 Plaintiff a blank Plaintiff Fact Sheet and notified Plaintiff by telephone calls,  
21 emails, text messages, voice messages, and letters that we required input from  
22 her to complete her Plaintiff Fact Sheet but our office did not receive the  
23 requested information from her in advance of the deadline.

24 d. On September 23, 2024, having been unable to obtain sufficient information  
25 about Plaintiff's case, our office submitted a Plaintiff Fact Sheet on Plaintiff's  
26 behalf to avoid prejudice to her but could not complete the Plaintiff Fact Sheet  
27 without input from Plaintiff, resulting in numerous deficiencies. Our office  
28

1 notified Plaintiff by telephone call, email, text message, and letter that we needed  
2 input from her to correct the Plaintiff Fact Sheet deficiencies to avoid dismissal  
3 of her case but Plaintiff was unresponsive to our regular communication  
4 attempts.

5 e. Since September 23, 2024, all telephone calls to Plaintiff were directed straight  
6 to voicemail or the telephone was out of service.

7 f. On August 30, 2024, our office received a return to sender notification of our  
8 July 19, 2024 correspondence.

9 g. On October 24, 2024, our office and I had a meet and confer call with counsel  
10 for Defendants and conveyed our intention to respond to Defendants deficiency  
11 letter regarding Plaintiff after speaking with her.

12 h. Since September 23, 2024, our office sent electronic mail messages to Plaintiff  
13 on September 23, 2024, October 30, 2024, November 4, 2024, November 11,  
14 2024, November 14, 2024, November 20, 2024, December 2, 2024, December  
15 10, 2024, December 13, 2024, December 16, 2024, December 30, 2024, January  
16 3, 2025 and January 10, 2025.

17 i. On December 13, 2024, December 30, 2024 and January 10, 2025, our office  
18 sent electronic mail messages to Plaintiff explaining that if she did not contact us  
19 immediately, we would take steps to withdraw as counsel; these communications  
20 offered Plaintiff a deadline by which to contact our office.

21 j. On January 8, 2025, our office received a return to sender notification of our  
22 December 6, 2024 correspondence.

23 k. Plaintiff did not respond to any of these communications and her telephone  
24 number went straight to voicemail.

25 6. During this time period, our office communicated with Defendants about the  
26 deficiencies in Plaintiff's Plaintiff Fact Sheet.

27 7. On December 20, 2024, our office and I had a meet and confer call with counsel for  
28

Defendants and conveyed our intention to withdraw from Plaintiff's representation.

8. To date, Plaintiff has not agreed to voluntarily dismiss her claims and has not informed our office that alternative counsel has been retained.

9. Our withdrawal from this case will not impact the timing or schedule of this litigation, and our office has taken all reasonable steps possible to avoid prejudice to Plaintiff by informing her of her options and the consequences of failing to comply with case deadlines.

10. I understand that, pursuant to Local Rule 11-5(b), leave to withdraw may be conditioned on our office continuing to accept papers to forward to Plaintiff. In compliance with Local Rule 11-5(b), our office accepts this responsibility.

Executed this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025 in Beverly Hills, California.

/s/ Lauren A. Welling      =  
Lauren A. Welling

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*